Earlier Diagnostics:

Innovation

Date of preparation: November 2024

Executive Summary

The UK's diagnostic services are facing significant challenges, including rising demand, workforce shortages, and increasing complexity in healthcare needs. To overcome these pressures and modernise diagnostic pathways, embracing technological innovation is critical. This paper outlines the steps required to transform diagnostic services, focusing on standardisation, integration, and the adoption of cutting-edge technologies.

Key Recommendations:

1. **Standardisation as a Foundation**: Establish uniform protocols and standards across diagnostic services to enable consistency and interoperability. This will streamline the integration of new technologies and data-sharing systems.
2. **Connected IT Systems**: Develop real-time, connected IT platforms that allow communication and data sharing between laboratories, clinicians, and patients, enhancing collaboration and reducing diagnostic delays.
3. **Adoption of AI and Automation**: Introduce AI-driven tools and automation within laboratories, along with digital pathology solutions, to increase diagnostic accuracy, speed, and reduce the burden on healthcare professionals.
4. **Digital Enhancements**: Promote the shift towards digital solutions, such as telehealth diagnostics and remote monitoring, to improve access to services and foster greater patient engagement.
5. **Workforce Upskilling**: Provide targeted training and continuous professional development to equip healthcare professionals with the skills needed to adopt and effectively use emerging technologies like AI and digital platforms.

Implementing these innovations will create a diagnostic system that is faster, more accurate, and better equipped to meet future healthcare challenges.

Introduction

Diagnostic services are essential to ensuring high-quality healthcare, yet the UK's diagnostic system is under increasing pressure from growing demand and evolving healthcare needs. Workforce shortages, outdated processes, and inefficiencies are impacting the timely and accurate delivery of diagnoses, which are critical to patient outcomes and the sustainability of the NHS.

As healthcare evolves, driven by demographic shifts and rapid technological advancements, the need for reform in diagnostic services has become urgent. This paper, based on insights from a collaborative roundtable of experts invited by the IBMS and PA Consulting, explores the technological innovations and strategic reforms necessary to enhance diagnostic pathways, ensuring a more resilient and sustainable system that can meet future demands.

1: Technological Innovations in Diagnostics

Technological advancements hold immense potential to transform diagnostic services, improving both the speed and accuracy of results while enhancing patient outcomes. However, successfully implementing these technologies requires more than just adopting new tools; it involves a strategic, stepwise approach that begins with **standardisation**, followed by **connecting IT systems**, and ultimately unlocking the potential of **AI and advanced technologies**. This section explores how these innovations can be optimised to improve diagnostics while also addressing the challenges of implementation.

1.1 Step 1: Standardisation as the Foundation

Standardisation is the critical first step in ensuring that diagnostic technologies can be effectively scaled across healthcare systems. Without consistent protocols, systems, and data formats, it becomes impossible to implement integrated systems or leverage advanced technologies like AI.

- **Uniform Diagnostic Standards**: Establishing uniform standards across diagnostic processes — including test ordering, sample handling, and result reporting — creates a reliable foundation on which new technologies can be built. Standardisation should extend to all levels of the diagnostic process, ensuring that data is collected, managed, and shared in a consistent format.
- **Cross-Network Protocols**: Uniform protocols across healthcare providers, laboratories, and diagnostic hubs ensure that data flows seamlessly between institutions. This will facilitate the creation of unified systems and foster collaboration across regions.

By standardising diagnostic protocols and processes, healthcare systems can eliminate redundancies, reduce errors, and ensure that all stakeholders operate with the same level of accuracy and efficiency.

1.2 Step 2: Connected IT Systems for Data Sharing

Once standardisation is in place, the next step is creating connected IT systems that allow for the seamless flow of information across healthcare networks. Integration is essential for linking laboratories, clinicians, and patients in real-time, ensuring faster and more reliable access to diagnostic information.

- **End-to-End IT Solutions**: Developing integrated IT systems that connect networks, laboratories, and healthcare providers enables data sharing across multiple sites. This

integration is critical for achieving faster turnaround times and improving patient outcomes. For example, digital platforms that allow for real-time tracking of samples and test results can prevent delays and streamline patient care.

- **Interoperability**: True connectivity requires systems that are interoperable, meaning they can communicate across various platforms and departments without disruption. This includes integrating laboratory systems with electronic health records (EHRs) and patient-facing apps, allowing clinicians to access diagnostic results as soon as they are available and enabling patients to view their own results securely.
- **Federated Laboratories and Regional Networks**: Integration efforts should extend beyond individual institutions to create **federated laboratory networks** that facilitate resource sharing, collaboration, and the ability to handle fluctuating diagnostic demands. For example, Denmark's system allows patients to book blood tests from anywhere via an app, creating a fully integrated diagnostic service across the country. While we are seeing examples of this approach in the UK, such as in North Central London, further scale is needed to maximise the benefits of integrated diagnostics across the country.
- **Data Privacy and Security**: As integration expands, maintaining patient privacy and data security is paramount. Robust cybersecurity measures, including encrypted data transfer and secure authentication protocols, must be built into integrated systems to ensure compliance with data protection regulations such as GDPR.

With interconnected IT, diagnostic services become more responsive - allowing for faster diagnoses and a more seamless patient experience.

1.3 Step 3: Unlocking the Potential of AI and Advanced Technologies

Once standardisation and integration are achieved, the full potential of AI and advanced technologies can be realised. These tools have the power to revolutionise diagnostic services by enhancing accuracy, accelerating turnaround times, and providing clinicians with deeper insights into patient health.

- **AI-Assisted Imaging**: AI has already made significant strides in fields such as radiology and pathology, where machine learning algorithms can quickly and accurately analyse images. AI-assisted tools can detect patterns and anomalies in diagnostic images, often with greater precision than human analysis. This enables earlier detection of diseases such as cancer, where early intervention is critical to patient outcomes.
- **Digital Pathology**: The transition from traditional to digital pathology allows for the storage, sharing, and analysis of pathology slides electronically. When combined with AI, digital pathology can significantly enhance the diagnostic process by providing faster and more accurate interpretations. Furthermore, digital platforms allow for remote consultations and second opinions, which can be especially useful in underserved or rural areas.

- **AI in Demand Management and Triage**: AI can also play a pivotal role in **demand management** by helping to determine which tests are necessary based on patient history and risk factors. Clinical decision support systems that use AI can assist clinicians in triaging patients and prioritising urgent cases, ensuring that resources are directed to those who need them most.
- **Point-of-Care Testing (POCT)**: Rapid diagnostic tools such as **Point-of-Care Testing** enable clinicians to obtain immediate results, reducing the need for multiple hospital visits and improving the management of chronic conditions. POCT, when integrated into homecare and community settings, allows for ongoing monitoring of diseases like diabetes or cardiovascular conditions, reducing the burden on hospitals and improving equality of access for patients across diverse settings.

1.4 Empowering Biomedical Scientists through Software Entrepreneurship

As healthcare becomes more data-driven, there is growing potential for biomedical scientists to take an active role in the development of diagnostic tools and solutions. Platforms like Microsoft's Power Platform empower professionals to create their own customised software without requiring extensive programming skills, promoting a culture of software entrepreneurship within diagnostics.

By using low-code/no-code platforms, biomedical scientists can:

- **Automate routine tasks**: Biomedical scientists can develop apps that automate data entry, sample tracking, or report generation, reducing manual tasks and freeing up time for more complex diagnostic activities.
- **Improve diagnostic pathways**: Custom applications can streamline workflows, enhance communication between departments, and ensure that diagnostic data is easily accessible to all stakeholders.
- **Create innovative diagnostic tools**: With tools like Power Apps, scientists can develop tailored software solutions that address specific diagnostic challenges. For example, an app might help manage patient triage, integrating AI-driven risk assessments with sample prioritisation protocols.
- **Collaborate on software solutions**: By promoting a culture of collaboration, these platforms enable biomedical scientists to work with IT specialists and clinicians to develop and refine applications that meet evolving diagnostic needs.

The ability to quickly prototype and deploy applications allows for rapid innovation in the diagnostic process, enabling real-time adjustments and improvements in laboratory operations. Moreover, it helps drive digital transformation from within the workforce, rather than relying solely on external IT vendors.

By fostering software entrepreneurship, laboratories can continuously innovate, driving improvements in diagnostics and building a more resilient, adaptable workforce while maintaining the highest standards of patient safety and quality.

1.5 Overcoming Challenges to Technology Adoption

While the benefits of technological innovations are clear, several challenges must be addressed to ensure their successful implementation:

- **Data Storage Costs**: As diagnostic data grows — especially with the introduction of digital pathology and AI-assisted imaging — the cost of storing large volumes of digital data becomes significant. Developing cost-effective data storage solutions, such as cloud-based systems, will be crucial for managing this growing demand.
- **System Interoperability**: Ensuring that new systems integrate seamlessly with existing infrastructure is often a significant challenge. Standardisation across healthcare providers and regions is vital to creating interoperable systems that function effectively on a larger scale.
- **Workforce Readiness**: Implementing new technologies will require upskilling the workforce. Ensuring that diagnostic professionals are trained to work with new systems and tools, including AI platforms, is essential for maximising the benefits of these innovations.

Case Study: AI in Histopathology for Improved Cancer Diagnosis

A promising example of AI-driven innovation can be seen in the application of artificial intelligence to histopathology, particularly in the diagnosis and grading of breast cancer. In a multi-centre study across several major hospitals in the UK, an AI algorithm was integrated into the histopathology workflow to assist pathologists in analysing breast cancer specimens.

The AI system was trained on a large dataset of digitised histopathology slides, learning to recognise and quantify key features used in breast cancer grading, such as nuclear pleomorphism, mitotic count, and tubule formation. These features are crucial in determining the grade of breast cancer, which in turn influences treatment decisions and prognosis.

In the study, pathologists first made their assessments using traditional methods. The slides were then analysed by the AI system, which provided quantitative measurements of the key features and a suggested grade. The pathologists then reviewed the AI's output and made their final diagnosis.

The results were encouraging:

1. **Consistency:** The AI system demonstrated a high level of consistency in its measurements, with a concordance rate of over 90% with expert pathologists in breast cancer grading.
2. **Quantification:** The AI provided more granular and reproducible measurements of histological features compared to traditional subjective assessments.
3. **Time Efficiency:** Pathologists reported that the AI system helped streamline their workflow, allowing them to focus more on complex cases and interpretation.
4. **Inter-observer Variability:** The study showed a reduction in inter-observer variability among pathologists when the AI system was used as a supportive tool.
5. **Detection of Subtle Features:** In some cases, the AI system identified subtle features that were initially overlooked by pathologists, prompting a re-evaluation and potentially improving diagnostic accuracy.

The integration of AI into the histopathology workflow demonstrated potential to enhance the accuracy, consistency, and efficiency of breast cancer diagnosis. However, the study also emphasised that the AI system should be viewed as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for human expertise. The combination of AI capabilities with pathologist experience and judgment resulted in the most robust and reliable diagnoses.

As this technology continues to develop, careful implementation and ongoing evaluation will be crucial. The aim is to improve the standardisation and accuracy of cancer diagnosis, potentially leading to more personalised treatment plans and better patient outcomes.

Conclusion

To fully unlock the potential of **AI**, **digital pathology** and **IT systems**, we must adopt a structured, stepwise approach. **Standardisation** is the foundation for effective connectivity, ensuring data sharing and collaboration. Once systems are standardised, advanced technologies can significantly enhance diagnostic accuracy, speed, and patient outcomes. By addressing challenges like **interoperability**, **data storage**, and **workforce training**, healthcare systems can successfully implement these innovations and transform the future of diagnostics.

2. Measuring Impact and Success

To ensure the effectiveness of diagnostic innovations and the transformation of diagnostic pathways, it is essential to implement robust methods for measuring impact. Evaluating the success of new diagnostic technologies, workforce developments, and patient-centred initiatives requires comprehensive data collection, tracking, and analysis. By establishing key performance indicators (KPIs) and fostering a culture of continuous improvement, healthcare systems can ensure that investments in diagnostics are leading to tangible improvements in patient outcomes, efficiency, and resource use.

2.1 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

To assess the impact of diagnostic innovations and pathway improvements, a set of clearly defined KPIs should be implemented across the healthcare system. These indicators allow healthcare providers to measure the success of diagnostic services and identify areas for improvement. The following KPIs are crucial for evaluating the performance of earlier diagnostics:

- **Turnaround Times**: One of the most important metrics for diagnostics is the time from sample collection to the delivery of results. Faster turnaround times allow for earlier diagnoses and quicker treatment decisions, improving patient outcomes. By tracking the average turnaround time for different types of tests, healthcare systems can assess where delays are occurring and implement solutions to speed up the process.
- **Early Detection Rates**: Measuring the rate at which diseases, particularly chronic and life-threatening conditions like cancer, are detected early provides a clear indicator of the effectiveness of diagnostic systems. Early detection is directly linked to improved patient outcomes, as it allows for timely intervention and treatment.
- **Hospital Admission and Readmission Rates**: Tracking hospital admission rates, especially for preventable conditions, can help evaluate the effectiveness of diagnostic services. A decrease in admissions due to early intervention and disease management suggests that diagnostic services are successfully identifying and addressing health issues before they require hospitalisation. Conversely, monitoring readmission rates can highlight gaps in the diagnostic and follow-up process.
- **Patient Satisfaction Scores**: Evaluating patient satisfaction with diagnostic services is essential for understanding the patient experience and identifying areas for improvement. Patient feedback on waiting times, communication of results, and their overall understanding of the diagnostic process can provide valuable insights into how well services are meeting patient needs.

- **Test Appropriateness and Diagnostic Stewardship**: Tracking the appropriateness of test ordering and the implementation of diagnostic stewardship principles is critical for ensuring that resources are used efficiently. By measuring the proportion of unnecessary or redundant tests, healthcare systems can optimise the use of diagnostic resources, reducing costs while maintaining high-quality care.

2.2 Continuous Improvement Through Data Integration

Data collection and analysis are at the core of measuring success in diagnostics. The ability to collect, share, and analyse diagnostic data across healthcare networks is key to driving continuous improvement. Integrated IT systems play a crucial role in facilitating this process.

- **End-to-End Data Integration**: Healthcare systems must develop comprehensive IT solutions that integrate data from every phase of the diagnostic pathway — from sample collection to test results and follow-up care. This integration allows for real-time monitoring of diagnostic services and enables healthcare providers to identify bottlenecks, inefficiencies, and areas for improvement. By tracking data throughout the patient journey healthcare systems can develop a holistic understanding of where improvements are needed.
- **System-Wide Monitoring**: Adopting a system-wide approach to monitoring diagnostic services ensures that every test and result is accounted for. This kind of monitoring enables healthcare providers to evaluate the overall performance of diagnostic services, track compliance with clinical guidelines, and assess the success of new technologies or process improvements.
- **Benchmarking Against Best Practices**: Comparing performance metrics against national or international benchmarks allows healthcare systems to gauge their success relative to other providers. Benchmarking can reveal best practices and identify areas where local or regional diagnostic services could benefit from adopting proven strategies or technologies.

2.3 Feedback Loops for Learning and Continuous Improvement

A system of continuous feedback is essential for driving ongoing improvements in diagnostic services. Establishing formal mechanisms for feedback from patients, clinicians, and laboratory staff enables healthcare systems to adjust processes in real time, addressing issues as they arise.

- **Clinical and Laboratory Feedback**: Regular feedback from clinicians and laboratory staff can provide valuable insights into how well diagnostic services are functioning on the ground. Identifying recurring issues — such as delays in sample transport, inefficiencies in test prioritisation, or challenges with new technologies — allows for

immediate corrective action. This feedback should be gathered through routine meetings, surveys, or digital platforms that allow staff to submit their observations.

- **Patient Feedback Mechanisms**: As part of patient-centred care, it is crucial to gather patient feedback on their experience with diagnostic services. Tools such as patient satisfaction surveys, focus groups, and digital platforms can capture patient perceptions of diagnostic timelines, communication, and accessibility. This feedback can then inform service improvements, ensuring that the diagnostic pathway remains responsive to patient needs.
- **Real-Time Data Dashboards**: Implementing real-time data dashboards for diagnostic services allows managers and healthcare providers to continuously monitor performance against KPIs. Dashboards provide a clear and accessible view of key metrics such as turnaround times, early detection rates, and test utilisation, enabling healthcare leaders to make data-driven decisions about resource allocation and process adjustments.

2.4 Public Reporting and Transparency

Transparency in diagnostic performance is important for building trust with patients, clinicians, and the public. Making key performance metrics publicly available not only holds healthcare providers accountable but also drives improvements by encouraging competition and adherence to best practices.

- **Public Reporting of KPIs**: Healthcare systems should consider publishing key metrics such as turnaround times, patient satisfaction scores, and early detection rates. Public reporting encourages healthcare providers to maintain high standards of service and creates pressure to address any areas where performance is lagging.
- **Stakeholder Communication**: Regularly communicating diagnostic performance metrics to stakeholders — including clinicians, laboratory staff, patients, and policymakers — ensures that all parties are aligned in their understanding of how well the diagnostic system is functioning. This communication can foster collaboration and shared ownership of diagnostic improvement efforts.

2.5 Contingency Planning and Strategic Resource Prioritisation

With increasing demand for diagnostic services, healthcare systems face the ongoing challenge of managing finite resources, especially during periods of extraordinary strain such as pandemics, cyberattacks, or workforce strikes. Effective contingency planning and strategic resource prioritisation are essential to ensure that critical diagnostic services remain accessible and sustainable during such crises.

Key Strategies for Proactive Contingency Planning and Resource Allocation:

- **Prioritisation of Diagnostic Services:**
  In times of intense pressure on diagnostic services, prioritising based on urgency and clinical necessity becomes critical. Developing a robust prioritisation framework ensures that essential diagnostics are directed towards patients and conditions requiring the most immediate attention, such as life-threatening or acute cases. This framework may include:
    - **Risk Stratification Models:** Identify high-risk patients to streamline service delivery and reduce risks to vulnerable populations.
    - **Clinical Decision Support Tools:** Equip clinicians with tools to guide test ordering based on medical urgency, helping them make efficient, evidence-based decisions.
    - **Priority-Level Guidelines:** Define and categorise diagnostic tests by priority level (e.g., emergency, urgent, routine), allowing resources to be directed where they are most critical.
- **Resource Allocation Models:**
  Strategic allocation of resources helps prevent overburdening services and maintain operational continuity during heightened demand. Effective methods include:
    - **Capacity Forecasting:** Utilise predictive models to estimate future demand, allowing laboratories to align resources, staffing, and equipment with anticipated needs.
    - **Flexible Staffing Solutions:** Deploy staff according to peak demand periods, with task-shifting protocols within laboratory teams to balance workloads effectively.
    - **Supply Chain Management:** Ensure essential diagnostic supplies, such as reagents and testing kits, are maintained with adequate stocks and contingency plans for alternative sourcing if supply disruptions occur.
- **Resource Prioritisation During Extreme Circumstances:**
  In situations of extreme disruption, such as large-scale cyberattacks or severe workforce shortages, healthcare systems may need to strategically prioritise diagnostics. Transparent frameworks are essential to guide these decisions, ensuring they align with ethical and clinical standards. Resource prioritisation during such crises should include:
    - **Clear Criteria for Test Access:** Define priority criteria for test access, focusing on essential diagnostics and life-saving tests based on clinical guidelines and utility evidence.
    - **Ethical Decision-Making Protocols:** Engage multidisciplinary teams to develop frameworks that support transparent, fair decision-making aligned with both clinical needs and ethical principles.

- **Stakeholder Communication:** Maintain clear communication with healthcare providers, patients, and the public about the rationale, timelines, and potential impacts of resource prioritisation measures, ensuring trust and transparency.

- **Ongoing Evaluation and Adaptation:**
  To remain effective, contingency and resource prioritisation plans should undergo continuous evaluation, with adjustments made as conditions evolve. Real-time monitoring of KPIs, such as diagnostic capacity, turnaround times, and patient outcomes, can provide essential feedback for adapting strategies as needed, ensuring the sustainability and resilience of diagnostic services.

By incorporating these strategies, healthcare systems can mitigate the risks associated with surges in diagnostic demand and maintain essential services during times of crisis. Proactive planning and ethical frameworks will help sustain critical diagnostic services and support healthcare providers in delivering care even under challenging circumstances.

Conclusion

Measuring impact and success in diagnostic services is essential for ensuring that innovations, workforce developments, and patient-centred approaches are delivering real benefits. It also provides the basis for quantifying value and securing investment. By establishing clear KPIs — such as turnaround times, early detection rates, and patient satisfaction scores — and fostering a culture of continuous improvement through data integration and feedback, healthcare systems can drive better outcomes and more efficient use of resources. Public reporting and transparency further enhance accountability, ensuring that diagnostic services continue to evolve in response to both patient needs and healthcare challenges.

Conclusion

The pressures on the UK's healthcare system require an urgent focus on the modernisation of diagnostic services. As this paper has demonstrated, technological innovation offers a vital opportunity to enhance the accuracy, efficiency, and capacity of diagnostics, ultimately improving patient outcomes. By prioritising the adoption of advanced technologies, connecting systems for communication and collaboration, and ensuring the workforce is prepared for the shift to digital, healthcare providers can create a more responsive and sustainable diagnostic service.

Through a balanced approach that combines technological advancements with strategic resource management and workforce development, the UK can build a resilient diagnostic system that meets the challenges of modern healthcare.

Copyright and disclaimer